

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/904,294	Applicant(s) HERSMAN ET AL.	
	Examiner Edna Wong	Art Unit 1753	

All Participants:

(1) Edna Wong.

(2) Paul C. Remus.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 7 March 2005

Time: 10:51 am

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

13-22

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Authorization for an Examiner's Amendment was granted by Applicants' representative to cancel claims 13-22. Details of the Examiner's Amendment are set forth herewith.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Edna Wong
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)